

Al – Saeed University Journal of Applied Sciences

journal@alsaeeduni.edu.ye

المجلد (6)، العدد (4)، 2023م Vol (6), No(4), Sep., 2023 ISSN: 2790-7554 (Online) ISSN: 2616 – 6305 (Print)



## Seroprevalence of Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) in Cancer Patients Undergo Chemotherapy in Taiz City, Yemen

#### **Ahmed Sultan Abdoh Saeed**

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Taiz University, Yemen

#### Ali Sallam

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Taiz University, Yemen

#### Hesham Abdulaziz Hezam Ali

Al- Amal Oncology Center, Taiz, Yemen

### **Ashwaq Ahmed Abdullah**

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Taiz University, Yemen alalawiashwaq1@yahoo.com

> ashwaq.alawi@taiz.edu.ye ORCID: 0000-0001-9485-0046

Received: 5/6/2023 Accepted: 23/7/2023

Journal Website: https://journal.alsaeeduni.edu.ye

# مدى إنتشار الفيروس المضخم للخلايا في الأشخاص الذين يعانون من السرطان ويخضعون للعلاج الكيميائي في محافظة تعز \_ اليمن

الباحث/ أحمد سلطان عبده سعيد

قسم الميكر وبيولوجي، كلية العلوم التطبيقية، جامعة تعز - اليمن

د/ على سلام

قسم الميكر وبيولوجي، كلية العلوم التطبيقية، جامعة تعز - اليمن

الباحث/ هشام عبدالعزيز حزام على

مركز الأمل لأورام، تعز - اليمن.

د/ أشواق أحمد عبدالله

قسم الميكر وبيولوجي، كلية العلوم التطبيقية، جامعة تعز - اليمن

#### الملخص

الفيروس المضخم للخلايا (CMV)، وهو فيروس بيتا هربس يتواجد في كل مكان، قادر على البقاء في الجسم بصورة كامنة مدى الحياة بعد الإصابة الأولية. يعد الفيروس المضخم للخلايا (CMV) أحد مسببات الأمراض الانتهازية على نطاق واسع، والذي يمكن أن يسبب عدوى بدون أعراض لدى الأشخاص الأصحاء، ولكنه مرض يهدد الحياة في الأفراد الذين يعانون من نقص المناعة. أثناء العدوى الاولية الفيروس المضخم للخلايا أو في حالة إعادة التنشيط للاصابة الكامنة بالفيروس، يكون العديد من الأفراد معرضين لخطر الإصابة بأمراض خطيرة مثل متلقي زرع الخلايا الجذعية المكونة للدم الخيفي، ومتلقي زراعة الأعضاء الصلبة؛ مرضى السرطان الذين يخضعوا المرضى للعلاج الكيميائي، والمرضى الذين يتلقون العلاج بالستيرويد، ومرضى فيروس نقص المناعة البشرية، والمواليد من امهات مصابة بالفيروس. يصنف السرطان على أنه السبب الثاني للوفاة على مستوى العالم بعد أمراض القلب والأوعية الدموية حيث تم الإبلاغ عن 9.6 مليون حالة وفاة في جميع أنحاء العالم.

هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تحديد معدل الانتشار المصلي العام للفيروس المضخم للخلايا بين مرضى السرطان الذين يخضعون للعلاج الكيميائي. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، حددت عامل الخطر المرتبط بإعادة تتشيط الفيروس المضخم للخلايا بأنواع العلاج الكيميائي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الفيروس المضخم للخلايا، السرطان، العلاج الكيميائي، تعز، اليمن.

#### Seroprevalence of Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) in Cancer Patients Undergo Chemotherapy in Taiz City, Yemen

#### Ahmed Sultan Abdoh Saeed

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Taiz University, Yemen

#### Ali Sallam

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Taiz University, Yemen

#### Hesham Abdulaziz Hezam Ali

Al- Amal Oncology Center, Taiz, Yemen

#### Ashwaq Ahmed Abdullah

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Taiz University, Yemen

#### **Abstract**

Cytomegalovirus (CMV), a ubiquitous beta-herpesvirus, is able to establish lifelong latency after initial infection. CMV is a widespread opportunistic pathogen, which can cause asymptomatic infection in healthy people but life-threatening disease in immunosuppressed individuals. During CMV primary CMV infection or reactivation, many individuals are at a high risk of development severe diseases such as allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, solid organ transplant recipients; cancer patients undergo chemotherapy, patients receiving steroid therapy, HIV patients and, baby of infected mother. Cancer is classified as a second cause of death globally after cardiovascular diseases with 9.6 million deaths were reported worldwide.

This study aimed at determining the overall seroprevalence of CMV among cancer patients who undergo chemotherapy. In addition, it identified the risk factor associated with CMV reactivation and to link the CMV reactivation with types of chemotherapy.

In this study, 200 randomize blood samples were collected from cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy who attended to Al Amal center for the treatment of cancer patients in Taiz city. Samples were tested for detection of CMV IgG antibodies by electrochemiluminescence (ECLIA) method.

The overall seroprevalence of CMV in cancer patients was 99.5%, CMV prevalence was 100% and 99% in solid cancer and, hematological malignancy respectively. Seroprevalence of HCMV regarding gender in male 100% and in female 99%. The prevalence of HCMV was 100% in all age groups except the age group 16-30 years 96%. Seroprevalence of HCMV regarding history of blood transfusion was 56.5%. Seroprevalence of HCMV regarding radiotherapy was 100%. Seroprevalence of HCMV regarding chemotherapy drugs was 99.2%.

CMV reactivation among cancer patients was approved by this study. Therefore, CMV detection assay must include in the list of screening test that recommended for solid cancer and hematological malignancies patients underwent chemotherapy as well as for blood bag given to cancer patients undergo chemotherapy should be taken from seronegative CMV donors.

**Keywords**: Human cytomegalovirus, Cancer, Chemotherapy, Taiz, Yemen.

#### **Introduction**:

Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV), officially referred to as human herpesvirus 5 (HHV5), is an omnipresent virus which was discovered in the 1950s (Cannon et al., 2010). HCMV is species specific, humans beings are believed to be the only reservoir for CMV (Murphy & Shenk, 2008; Mocarski et al., 2012). Natural transmission of the virus occurs by direct or indirect person to person in several different ways, all requiring close contact with virus bearing material. including kissing, saliva, and nasal discharge on the hands or urine, (Dupont & Reeves, 2016). Moreover, it has been shown that CMV spreads through sexual contacts, blood transfusions and solid organ transplantation (SOT). Usually, the first exposure to CMV occurs during childhood, which is often asymptomatic. In healthy individuals, primary CMV infection is usually subclinical. But CMV has been implicated in a variety of diseases in healthy persons infectious mononucleosis like (IMN) disease (Klenerman & Oxenius, 2016) include, persistent fever, malaise, muscle pain, leukopenia, neutropenia, lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia and lymphadenopathy or evidence of tissue invasion e.g., pneumonitis, hepatitis, retinitis, gastrointestinal disease (Humar & Snydman, 2009; Nyholm & Schleiss, 2010; Swanson & Schleiss, 2013). Similar to other herpesviruses, CMV establishes a life-long latency and cannot be cleared by the immune system (Griffiths et al., 2015; Dupont & Reeves, 2016). Reactivation of latent CMV occurred after many years spontaneously or by any number of stimuli response, or when cell mediated immunity (CMI) defenses are impaired releasing intact virions that can infect new cells and cause CMV disease (Zhang et al., 2014; Griffiths et al., 2015; Goodrum, 2016).

Potential groups at risk of severe diseases from either primary CMV infection or reactivation of latent CMV infection include allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (aHSCT) recipients, SOT recipient (SOTR), solid tumors (ST), hematologic malignancies (HM) who are receiving chemotherapy, immunosuppressed patients receiving steroid therapy, baby from infected mothers and those with Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) (Atkinson & Emery, 2011; Walton *et al.*, 2014; Mestas & Forsythe, 2016).

Cancer is the second leading cause of death worldwide after cardiovascular disease, with 9.6 million deaths reported worldwide in 2018

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (39) المجلد (6)، العدد (4)، سبتمبر 2023م

(Bray et al., 2018; Ferlay et al., 2019; WHO, 2020). According to the estimate of World Health Organization, (WHO), it has been estimated that there were more than 14 million new cases worldwide (Stewart & Wild, 2014; Siegel et al., 2018; Ferlay et al., 2019). Various studies have identified high incidence of active CMV infection in tumor tissues including breast cancer (BC), gastric (GC). colorectal cancer (CRC), glioblastoma (GMB), cancer medulloblastoma (MB), prostatic cancer (PCs), ovarian cancer (OV), cervix cancer (CC), and HM, (Cobbs et al., 2002; Cox et al., 2010; Harkins et al., 2010; Baryawno et al., 2011; Wolmer-Solberg et al., 2013; Taher et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2018; Meng et al., 2018; Paradowska et al., 2019). The, growing use of cytoreductive therapy that obviously represses cellular immunity is ratify to be one of the key factors that expose patients to a greater risk of severe CMV disease (Piiparinen et al., 2002).

Further, death attributable to CMV was reported in 42% of HM and ST manifestation (Wang *et al.*, 2011). In addition, in ST patients, CMV disease, reported a mortality rate of 61% (Wang *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, the mortality rate from CMV disease was reported as high as 57% in patients with leukemia and up to 90% in those who had undergone HSCT, (Mattes *et al.*, 2005). Risk of CMV disease development in patients with oncological hematological diseases increases when anti-cancer drugs with T-suppressive effect are used, (e.g, high cyclophosphamide doses, methotrexate or corticosteroids) (Nguyen *et al.*, 2001) or alemtuzumab, rituximab or fludarabine (Nguyen *et al.*, 2002; Gallamini *et al.*, 2007). Unfortunately, infectious complications problem associated with CMV reactivation in cancer patient undergo chemotherapy need to be evaluated.

This study aims at determining the CMV seroprevalence among cancer patients undergo chemotherapy in Al- Amal Center for Treatment Of Oncology Patients. Taiz City Yemen and to identify the risk factor associated with CMV reactivation. In addition to link the CMV reactivation with types of chemotherapy.

#### **Material and Methods:**

It was a cross sectional; retrospective study of cancer patients undergo chemotherapy treated at the Al- Amal Centre for the treatment of cancer patients in Taiz city Yemen between August 2021 to February 2023. In this

study, 200 cancer patients randomly were selected from a total of 8765 cancer patients attending Al- Amal Center in Taiz city Yemen during the study period. The amount of 5 ml blood samples were collected into a sterile anticoagulated bottle (red cup tube) and allowed to clotted for 30 minutes. After clot formed the samples were centrifuged, at 4300 g for 5 minutes, serum was separated into a sterile Eppendorf on each collection day for storage at -20 °C until the required sample size was obtained, for Cobas testing. Detection of CMV IgG antibodies by electrochemiluminescence (ECLIA) by Cobas e 411. All the relevant collected data were analyzed by using IMB Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 bivariant correlation. The subgroup was analyzed for risk factor identification by using student t test, one way ANOVA.

#### **Results:**

#### Seroprevalence of HCMV in Cancer patients undergo chemotherapies

The overall seroprevalence of HCMV in cancer patients was 99.5%. Among 200 patients undergo chemotherapy, 93 samples (46.5%) were males and 107 (53.5%) were females (Table 1) with no significance differences between both genders. They were at the same risk of HCMV reactivation.

According to cancer types, 157 (78.5%) patients were diagnosed with solid cancer and 43 (21.5%) with HC. There were significant differences in HCMV reactivation in different types of cancer (P. value = 0.055) (Table 1).

According to the patients' age, there were six groups. Most of the patients (32%) were at 46-60 years old. In the first period 1-15 years 18 patients (9%), in 16-30 years 25 patients (12.5%), in 31-45 years 46 patients (23%), in 46-60 years there were 64 patients (32%), in 61-75 years 35 patients (17.5%), finally, in group 6 or 75-90 years there were 12 patients (6%). All the age groups were at the risk of HCMV reactivation (Table 1).

Based on the history of blood transfusion 114 (57%) had transfused blood, with 30 patients 15% had transfuse of one blood bag whereas one patient 0.5% had transfuse of 200 blood bags. There were no significant differences in seroprevalence of HCMV reactivation in cancer patients regarding blood transfusion (P. value = 0.384) (Table 1).

Table (1): Seroprevalence of HCMV among chemotherapy patients

_					
Gender	N	%	Seropre of HC		P. value
			N	%	
Male	93	46.5	93	100	0.350
Female	107	53.5	106	99	0.350
Type of Cancer					
Solid tumor	157	78.5	157	100	
Hematological malignencies (HM)	43	21.5	42	97.7	0.055
Age group					
1-15	18	9	18	100	
16-30	25	12.5	24	96	
31-45	46	23	46	100	0.210
46-60	64	32	64	100	0.218
61-75	35	17.5	35	100	
76-90	12	6	12	100	
Blood Transfusion		•			
Yes	114	57	113	56.5	0.284
No	86	43	86	43	0.384

There were significant differences in seroprevalence of HCMV reactivation in cancer patients regarding to number of blood transfusion (P. value 0.000) (Table 2).

Table (2): Seroprevalence of HCMV among chemotherapy patients according blood transfusion

	Ser				
Number of blood transfusion	HCMV Sei	ropositive	HCM Seroneg		P. value
	N	%	N	%	
0.00	85	42.5	0	0	
1	30	15	0	0	
2	23	11.5	0	0	
3	13	6.5	0	0	
4	9	4.5	0	0	
5	9	4.5	0	0	
6	8	4	0	0	
7	1	0.05	0	0	
8	2	1	0	0	
10	2	1	0	0	0.000
11	1	0.05	0	0	0.000
12	2	1	0	0	
14	1	0.05	0	0	
15	4	2	0	0	
20	3	1.5	0	0	
25	2	1	0	0	
30	1	0.05	1	0.05	
40	1	0.05	0	0	
60	1	0.05	0	0	
200	1	0.05	0	0	

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (42) المجلد (6)، العدد (4)، سبتمبر 2023م

Among 200 patients, only one patient (0.5%) had previous organ transplantation (renal transplantation) and no significance differences between seroprevalence of HCMV and organ transplantation (Table 3). Regarding types of treatment, 29 (14.5%) of patients underwent radiotherapy were, and 171 patients (85.5%) not attended to radiotherapy with no significance differences between seroprevalence of HCMV and radiotherapy. While only 2 patients (1%) were taken steroid therapy and the reminder 198 (99%) of patients were without steroid therapy and no significance differences between seroprevalence of HCMV and steroid therapy (Table 3). Supportive treatment with antiviral prophylactic were used in 28 patients (14%) and 172 patients (86%) without supportive treatments. There were no significance differences in seroprevalence of HCMV reactivation in cancer patients regarding to antiviral prophylactics P. value (0.686) (Table 3).

Table (3): Seroprevalence of HCMV among chemotherapy patients according to Organ Transplantation, Radiotherapy, Steroid therapy, Antiviral prophylactics

Organ Transplantation	N	%	Seroprevalen	ce of HCMV	P. value
Organ Transplantation	11	70	N	%	r. value
Yes	1	0.05	1	100	
No	43	199	198	99.5	0.943
Radiotherapy					
Yes	29	14.5	29	100	
No	171	85.5	170	99.4	0.680
Steroid therapy					
Yes	2	1	2	100	0.920
No	198	99	197	99.5	0.920
Antiviral prophylactics					
Yes	28	14	28	100	0.686
No	172	86	171	99.4	0.080

## Results of Correlation between CMV reactivation and types of chemotherapy

From 200 cancer patients 131 patients (65.5%) underwent chemotherapy and 69 patients (34.5%) did not take chemotherapy treatment with no significant differences between seroprevalence of HCMV and chemotherapy used (P. value = 0.467).

Table (4). Seroprevalence of HCMV among chemotherapy patients according to number of chemotherapy drugs

Chamatharany	NT 0	% Seroj	Seroprevale	Seroprevalence of HCMV		
Chemotherapy	1	70	N	%	P. value	
Yes	131	66.5	130	99.2	0.467	
No	69	34.5	69	100	0.467	

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (43) المجلد(6)، العدد(4)، سبتمبر 2023م

No significance correlation between HCMV reactivation and type of chemotherapy used except there was significance correlation with Methotrexate 50 mg I.V (P.value = 0.009) and Methotrexate 50 mg intrathecal (P. value = 0.017) (Table 5).

Table (5): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and type of chemotherapy drugs

					Seropre				
	TD 6.1	<i>m</i> . 1		0./		emothera			ъ.
No	Type of drug	Take	N	%	НС		HCN		P-value
					_	ositive	Serone		
			-	-	N	%	N	%	
1	Bleomycin 15 mg	Yes	8	4	8	4	0	0	0.839
	, o	No	192	96	191	95.5	1	0.05	
2	Cyclophosphamid	Yes	23	11.5	23	11.5	0	0	0.719
	200 mg	No	177	88.5	176	88	1	0.05	
3	Cyclophosphamid	Yes	21	10.5	21	10.5	0	0	0.733
	500 mg	No	179	89.5	178	89	1	0.05	
4	Cyclophosphamid	Yes	33	16.5	33	16.5	0	0	0.658
	1000 mg	No	167	83.5	166	83	1	0.05	
5	Docetaxel 120 mg	Yes	5	2.5	5	2.5	0	0	0.873
	0	No	195	97.5	194	97	1	0.05	
6	Docetaxel 20 mg	Yes	4	2	4	2	0	0	0.887
		No	196	98	195	97.5	1	0.05	
7	Docetaxel 80 mg	Yes	17	8.5	17	8.5	0	0	0.761
		No	183	91.5	182	91	1	0.05	
8	Doxorubcin 50 mg	Yes	45	22.5	45	22.5	0	0	0.591
		No	155	77.5	154	77	1	0.05	****
9	Doxorubcin 10 mg	Yes	28	14	28	14	0	0	0.688
		No	172	86	171	85.5	1	0.05	
10	Epirubicin 50 mg	Yes	1	0.05	1	0.05	0	0	0.944
	Epirasiem ev mg	No	199	99.5	198	99	1	0.05	0
11	Etopside 100 mg	Yes	13	6.5	13	6.5	0	0	0.793
	•	No	187	93.5	186	93	1	0.05	01.70
12	Fluorouracil 250	Yes	4	2	4	2	0	0	0.887
	mg	No	196	98	195	97.5	1	0.05	0.007
13	Fluorouracil 500	Yes	31	15.5	31	15.5	0	0	0.670
	mg	No	169	84.5	168	84	1	0.05	0.070
14	Methotrexate 50	Yes	26	13	25	12.5	1	0.05	0.009
	mg I.V	No	174	87	174	87	0	0	
15	Methotrexate 50	Yes	30	15	29	14.5	1	0.05	0.017
	mg Intrathecia	No	170	85	170	85	0	0	0,01,
16	Paclitaxel 30 mg	Yes	20	10	20	10	0	0	0.740
10	T defidatel 50 mg	No	180	90	179	89.5	1	0.05	017 10
17	Paclitaxel 100 mg	Yes	46	23	45	22.5	1	0.05	0.591
	- meanman 100 mg	No	154	77	154	77	0	0	0,071
18	Paclitaxel 150 mg	Yes	18	9	17	8.5	1	0.05	0.761
10	1 delimate 100 mg	No	182	91	182	91	0	0	0.701
19	Paclitaxel 260 mg	Yes	27	13.5	26	13	1	0.05	0.699
	I delitated 200 Hig	No	173	86.5	173	86.5	0	0	0.022
20	Epirubicin 10 mg	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
20	Dpirubiciii 10 ilig	No	200	100	0	0	0	0	0.00

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (44) المجلد(6)، العدد(4)، سبتمبر 2023م

Different chemotherapy drugs were with patients and there was a significant correlation between HCMV reactivation and number of Bleomycin 15 mg doses (P. value = 0.000) (Table 6)., number of Cyclophosphamide 200 mg doses (P. value = 0.000), 500 mg, and 1000 mg doses (P. value = 0.000) (Table 7).

Table (6): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Bleomycin doses

Number of Bleomycin		Seroprevalence of HCMV among Chemotherapy Patients						
15 mg doses	HCMV Se		HCMV Se	P-value				
	N	%	N	%				
1 dose	1	0.05	0	0				
2 doses	0	0	0	0				
3 doses	0	0	0	0				
4 doses	1	0.05	0	0				
5 doses	0	0	0	0				
6 doses	1	0.05	0	0				
7 doses	1	0.05	0	0	0.000			
8 doses	1	0.05	0	0				
9 doses	1	0.05	0	0				
10 doses	0	0	0	0				
11 doses	1	0.05	0	0				
12 doses	1	0.05	0	0				

Table (7): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Cyclophosphamide doses

Number of Cyclophosphamide	Ser	oprevalence of Chemother			
200 mg doses	HCMV S	eropositive	HCMV S	eronegative	P-value
	N	%	N	%	
1 dose	10	5	0	0	
2 doses	8	4	0	0	
3 doses	1	0.05	0	0	0.000
4 doses	4	2	0	0	
Number of Cyclophosphamide 500	mg doses				
1 dose	10	5	0	0	0.000
2 doses	6	3	0	0	
3 doses	2	1	0	0	
4 doses	1	0.05	0	0	
5 doses	2	1	0	0	
Number of Cyclophosphamide 100	0 mg doses				
1 dose	6	3	0	0	0.00
2 doses	13	6.5	0	0	
3 doses	4	2	0	0	
4 doses	8	4	0	0	
5 doses	0	0	0	0	
6 doses	2	1	0	0	

Using Docetaxel doses in the treatment showed that there was a significant correlation between HCMV reactivation and number of Docetaxel 120 mg, 20 mg, 80 mg, 50 mg doses (P. value = 0.000) (Table 8).

Table (8): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Docetaxel doses

Number of Docetaxel 120	Ser				
mg doses	HCMV S		apy Patients HCMV Se		P-value
	N	%	N	%	_ , ,,,_,,
1 dose	2	1	0	0	
2 doses	3	1.5	0	0	0.000
Number of Docetaxel 20 mg	doses				
1 dose	1	0.05	0	0	
2 doses	0	0	0	0	
3 doses	0	0	0	0	
4 doses	1	0.05	0	0	0.000
5 doses	0	0	0	0	0.000
6 doses	0	0	0	0	
7 doses	2	1	0	0	
Number of Docetaxel 80 mg	doses				
1 dose	6	3	0	0	
2 doses	4	2	0	0	
3 doses	2	1	0	0	
4 doses	1	0.05	0	0	
5 doses	1	0.05	0	0	0.000
6 doses	2	1	0	0	
7 doses	0	0	0	0	
8 doses	1	0.05	0	0	

Moreover, during treatment with Doxorubicin 50 mg doses, there was a significant correlation between HCMV reactivation and number of Doxorubicin 50 mg, 10 mg doses (P. value = 0.000) (Table 9).

Table (9): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Doxorubicin doses

Number of Doxorubicin 50		Seroprevalence of HCMV among Chemotherapy Patients					
mg doses	HCMV Sei	HCMV Seropositive HCMV Seronegative					
	N	%	N	%			
1 dose	13	6.5	0	0			
2 doses	4	2	0	0			
3 doses	7	3.5	0	0			
4 doses	9	4.5	0	0			
5 doses	5	2.5	0	0			
6 doses	2	1	0	0	0.000		
7 doses	0	0	0	0			
8 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
9 doses	2	1	0	0			
10 doses	2	1	0	0			

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (46) المجلد(6)، العدد(4)، سبتمبر 2023م

Number of Doxorubicin 50	-	Seroprevalence of HCMV among Chemotherapy Patients				
mg doses	HCMV Ser	opositive	HCMV Se	ronegative	P-value	
	N	%	N	%		
Number of Doxorubicin 10 n	ng doses					
1 dose	8	4	0	0	0.000	
2 doses	11	5.5	0	0		
3 doses	5	2.5	0	0		
4 doses	1	0.05	0	0		
5 doses	2	1	0	0		
6 doses	1	0.05	0	0		

There was only one patient received Epirubicin 50 mg therapy and statistical differences between HCMV reactivation and number of Epirubicin 50 mg doses cannot be calculated, (Table 10), Whereas there was a significance correlation between HCMV reactivation and number of Etoposide 100 mg doses (P. value = 0.000) (Table 10).

Table (10): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Epirubicin or Etoposide doses

Number of Epirubicin 50	Ser	Seroprevalence of HCMV among Chemotherapy Patients					
mg doses	HCMV S	Seropositive	HCMV Se	eronegative	P-value		
	N	%	N	%			
Single dose	1	0.05	0	0			
Number of Etopside 100 mg	g doses						
1 dose	0	0	0	0	0.000		
2 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
3 doses	4	2	0	0			
4 doses	6	3	0	0			
5 doses	0	0	0	0			
6 doses	2	1	0	0			

Two doses of Fluorouracil (250 mg and 500 mg) were used with patients with a significant correlation, between HCMV reactivation and number of them (P. value = 0.000) (Table 11).

Table (11): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Fluorouracil 250 mg doses

Number of Fluorouracil	Sero	Seroprevalence of HCMV among Chemotherapy Patients					
250 mg doses	HCMV Se	HCMV Seropositive HCMV Seronegative					
	N	%	N	%			
1 dose	2	1	0	0			
2 doses	2	1	0	0	0.000		
Number of Fluorouracil 500	) mg doses						
1 dose	5	2.5	0	0			
2 doses	8	4	0	0			
3 doses	4	2	0	0	0.000		
4 doses	2	1	0	0			

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (47) المجلد (6)، العدد (4)، سبتمبر 2023م

Number of Fluorouracil	Seroprevalence of HCMV among Chemotherapy Patients				
250 mg doses	HCMV Seropositive		HCMV Seronegative		P-value
	N	%	N	%	
5 doses	2	1	0	0	
6 doses	4	2	0	0	
7 doses	2	1	0	0	
8 doses	1	0.05	0	0	
9 doses	2	1	0	0	
10 doses	1	0.05	0	0	
11 doses	0	0	0	0	
12 doses	2	1	0	0	

Similarly, Methotrexate used with dose 50 mg IV or intrathecal. Only patients treated with Methotrexate IV showed statistically difference result (P. value= 0.013) (Table 12).

Table (12): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Methotrexate doses

Number of	Seroprevalence of HCMV among						
Methotrexate 50 mg IV	Chemotherapy Patients						
doses	HCMV Seropositive HCMV Seronega			P-value			
	N	%	N	%			
1 dose	4	2	0	0			
2 doses	7	3.5	0	0			
3 doses	2	1	0	0			
4 doses	2	1	0	0			
5 doses	5	2.5	0	0			
6 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
7 doses	1	0.05	0	0	0.013		
8 doses	0	0	0	0			
9 doses	0	0	0	0			
10 doses	2	1	0	0			
11 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
12 doses	0	0	1	0.05			
Number of Methotrexate 50 mg intrathecal doses							
1 dose	4	2	0	0	0.988		
2 doses	2	1	0	0			
3 doses	0	0	0	0			
4 doses	2	1	0	0			
5 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
6 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
7 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
8 doses	4	2	1	0.05			
9 doses	4	2	0	0			
10 doses	2	1	0	0			
11 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
12 doses	3	1.5	0	0			
13 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
14 doses	2	1	0	0			
15 doses	1	0.05	0	0			

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية ( 48 ) المجلد (6)، العدد (4)، سبتمبر 2023م

On other hand, all patients treated with Paclitaxel 4 doses (30 mg, 100 mg, 150 mg and 260 mg) showed reactivation of HCMV with significant differences (P. value= 0.000) (Table 13).

Table (13): Correlation between HCMV seropositivity and number of Paclitaxel doses

N 1 45 W 120	Seroprevalence of HCMV among						
Number of Paclitaxel 30			apy Patients		P-value		
mg doses	HCMV Seropositive N %		HCMV Seronegative				
1.4	N		N	%			
1 dose	7 2	3.5	0	0			
2 doses	5	1		0			
3 doses	1	2.5	0	0			
4 doses		0.05	0	0			
5 doses	2 2	1 1	0	0	0.000		
6 doses	0	0	0	0	0.000		
7 doses							
8 doses	0	0	0	0			
9 doses	0	0.05	0	0			
10 doses	_	0.05	U	U			
Number of Paclitaxel 100			•	Δ Ι			
1 dose	6	3	0	0			
2 doses	7	3.5	0	0			
3 doses	8	5	0	0			
4 doses	10	_	0	0			
5 doses	3	1.5	0	0			
6 doses	3	1.5	0	0			
7 doses	2	1	0	0	0.000		
8 doses	2	1	0	0	0.000		
9 doses	0	0	0	0			
10 doses	2	1	0	0			
11 doses	0	0	0	0			
12 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
13 doses	0	0	0	0			
14 doses	1	0.05	0	0			
Number of Paclitaxel 150				0			
1 dose	7	3.5	0	0	,		
2 doses	6	3	0	0	0.000		
3 doses	2	1	0	0	0.000		
4 doses	2	1	0	0			
Number of Paclitaxel 260 mg doses							
1 dose	8	4	0	0			
2 doses	2	1	0	0			
3 doses	8	4	0	0	0.000		
4 doses	7	3.5	0	0			
5 doses	1	0.05	0	0			

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (49) المجلد(6)، العدد(4)، سبتمبر 2023م

#### **Discussion:**

This study shows a high seroprevalence HCMV reactivation among cancer patients undergo chemotherapy in Yemen. The overall seroprevalence of HCMV IgG was 99.5%, this result was confirmed by various studies over the world. Another three studies that revealed that in all explored cancers the prevalence of HCMV was close to 100% are (Forslund et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014; Yamashita et al., 2014).

The results of this study showed that both genders are at the same risk of HCMV reactivation. This result was confirmed by various studies such as Al-Toban and his group in 2018, and Loutfy and his colleagues in 2006, and 2017. They demonstrated that there was no significant difference in the sex of ALL patients and HCMV viremia in Iraq and Egypt (Loutfy *et al.*, 2006; Loutfy *et al.*, 2017; Al-Toban *et al.*, 2018). On the contrary of this result, there were several researches that claimed that seroprevalence of HCMV in women is higher than that reported in men. For instance, Han in 2007 reported that HCMV antigenemia in cancer patients was higher in women (Han, 2007; Hyde *et al.*, 2010; Jones, 2013).

The association of HCMV and cancer was investigated in several studies all over the world. Carlos Cobbs (2002) carried the earlier study of HCMV in SC. They found that HCMV genomic and protein was present 100% in multiple SC (Cobbs et al., 2002). This study result showed that there was no difference between HCMV reactivation and types of cancer. In this study, HCMV prevalence was found as 59.9% and 40.1% in SC and HM respectively. This result was promoted by several studies all over the world. They found that HCMV was detected in different types of cancer such as in BC 92%, sentinel lymph nodes 94%, brain metastases from BC 99% (Taher et al., 2014; Rahbar et al., 2017), OC 97% (Yin et al., 2020) and CRC 90-100% (Melendez & Razonable, 2014; Taher et al., 2014). Furthermore, Hunter-Schlichting, demonstrated that HCMV DNAemia was highly prevalent 79% in pleural mesothelioma (Schlichting et al., 2021). Although, accurate data that reflected HCMV infection among SC patients in India was published by Agrawal and his group, they reported that HCMV was detected in 41.1% of patients (Agrawal et al., 2020). Moreover, Chamseddine reported that HCMV reactivation was 35% among cancer patients in Lebanon (Chamseddine et al., 2020). A recent study from Germany found that HCMV

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (50) المجلد (6)، العدد (4)، سبتمبر 2023م

seroprevalence was 61% among oncological patients (Herbein, 2018). Further, HCMV was detected in 95%-100% of BC in Egyptian women (El-Shinawi *et al.*, 2016; El Shazly *et al.*, 2018), Harkins 2010 in USA was 79% (Harkins *et al.*, 2010). In Norway a study by Geisler and his group in 2019 found HCMV is 90% (Geisler *et al.*, 2019) and in Iraq 94% (AlNuaimi *et al.*, 2018; Salman & Al-Azzawi, 2020). However, Muhsin in 2014 reported lower HCMV prevalence in BC in Iraqi women - 67% (Muhsin, 2014), also 58% in Iranian women 58% (karimi *et al.*, 2016). High rate of 76% HCMV pp65 was detected in biopsies of 29 patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the CNS (Libard *et al.*, 2014). Finally HCMV was detected in 77.5% in CC in general population in India (Ghosh *et al.*, 2019) and in 53% of CRC in Iran (Mehrabani-Khasraghi *et al.*, 2016). Likewise, regarding HCMV in HM there were a lot off studies because HCMV reactivation was less common in ST due to less severe immunosuppression and no experience prolonged periods of neutropenia (Gudiol *et al.*, 2016).

This study results showed the prevalence of HCMV in HM was 99.5%. Those results were inconsistent with Loutfy and his colleagues data that found that seroprevalence of HCMV IgG antibody was 100%, all 68 leukemic patients had anti HCMV IgG antibody (Loutfy et al., 2006). Further, HCMV was detected 100% in ALL and Acute Myelocytic Leukemia patients in USA (Francis et al., 2017). Another study in Brazil by de Melo Silva . in 2021 found that seroprevalence of HCMV IgG in leukemia and lymphoma was 91% and 91.7% respectively (de Melo Silva et al., 2021). A novel study was published and demonstrated that HCMV was detected with a 95.28% overall seroprevalence among HM patients in KSA (Zaidi et al., 2019). Additionally, in HM another two studies reported that the incidence of HCMV infection was 32%-58% in acute leukemia patients (Chen et al., 2010). Further, Piukovics in 2017 reported that HCMV was detected in 75.5% of HM (Piukovics et al., 2017). In addition, there were also studies about the association between HCMV infections with HM but the prevalence of HCMV is less than 50% such as, in United Kingdom found that HCMV was 48.5% in HM (Tay et al., 2014). Another study in Iran showed that the prevalence of HCMV was 40.1% in HM and the highest incidence was 20.6% and observed in NHL (Mehrabani-Khasraghi et al., 2016). Muhsin in Iraq revealed a 28% prevalence of HCMV in ALL patients (Muhsin et al., 2014).

Otherwise, a study was carried out by Young Lee and his group in Korea and it demonstrated that HCMV had a 17.9% incidence among HM patients (Lee *et al.*, 2017).

This result showed that all the age groups of cancer patients were at the same risk of HCMV reactivation. This result was supported by similar results reported in majority of other studies from Iraq and Egypt. There was no significant difference in the age and HCMV viremia in Iraq and Egypt in all patients (Loutfy *et al.*, 2006; Loutfy *et al.*, 2017; Al-Toban *et al.*, 2018). Also, Han in 2007 reported that higher HCMV antigenemia rates were also associated with older age (Han, 2007). Although, another study in Brazil by de Matos they found that HCMV seroprevalence in HM was 89.4%, which is directly proportional to age of the patient (de Melo Silva *et al.*, 2021). The prevalence of HCMV infection observed in this study was similar to that reported in other developing communities but higher than in the developed communities. This may be attributed to the low socio-economic level in Yemen.

Transmission of HCMV infection through blood transfusion was first recognized >59 years ago (Anderson & Larsson, 1963). This study result showed the prevalence of HCMV in cancer patients with history of blood transfusion was 99.5%. These result was supported by several studies carried on the prevalence of HCMV in blood donors such as Zuhair in 2019 HCMV in blood donor was 86%, Eastern Mediterranean Region 92%, African 90%, Western pacific 90% and South East Asia 88% (Zuhair et al., 2019). In Egypt high rates of HCMV infections were attributed to the high HCMV seroprevalence among blood donors in Egypt, as reported by Gawad and his group in 2016 found that 96.6% of blood donors were HCMV seropositive (Gawad et al., 2016). Many studies from Bangladesh, India, Iran, Iraq, Pakistan, Brazil, Japan, Ghana and Nigeria detected the seroprevalence of HCMV in blood donors varied between 90%-100% (Eivazi et al., 2013; Das et al., 2014; Khudir & Molan, 2014; Mahmood et al., 2014; Safabakhsh et al., 2014; Henry et al., 2016; Islam Shaheen et al., 2020). However, other studies showed a high worldwide seroprevalence for HCMV among blood donors, such as in Nigeria 95.8%, Brazil 96.4%, Turkey 97.2%, Iran 98.5% and the India 98.6% (Das et al., 2014), Iraq 97.4% (Mahmood et al., 2014). In Yemen a study by AL Saberi in 2018 showed the prevalence rate of HCMV IgG among blood donor was 96.6 % (Al-Sabri et al., 2017), which was similar

to previous studies performed in Sana'a 100% (Al-Samawi, 2003) and Hodeidah 98.7% (Alghalibi *et al.*, 2016).

In Yemen the risk for TT infection is very high due to not check for HCMV during donation. Without prophylactic treatment approximately 70% of patients would develop a HCMV infection (Ljungman *et al.*, 2011). Several studies have suggested that HCMV is an important TT pathogen (de Melo Silva *et al.*, 2021). Finally, patients with HM need several transfusions in the admission period and outpatient clinic. Thus, these patients are at great risk of HCMV infection as a result of taking a significant volume of donated blood and undergoing treatments by cytotoxic drugs in Iran (Eivazi *et al.*, 2013).

This study has shown that the incidence of HCMV in cancer patients undergoing organ transplantation was 100%. These results promoted by several studies discussed the prevalence of HCMV in transplant patients. However, there is a correlation between incidence of HCMV and type of transplant, the highest incidence seen in lung or heart–lung recipients it was 19% to 75%, in patients undergoing pancreas, 15%-50%, in liver recipients 65%, and in kidney recipients it was 6.2-60%, (Bosch et al., 2011; Beam & Razonable, 2012). Although, 91 of 213 42.7% patients developed HCMV viremia after HCT in USA (Huang et al., 2016). Further, Zhao and his colleagues in 2017 demonstrated that reactivation of HCMV occurs from latency, or often after SOT and blood transfusion (Zhao et al., 2017). Recently, Zuhair in 2019 found that the incidence of HCMV in organ donor was 86% (Zuhair et al., 2019). In addition, many studies have shown that to reduce the risk of HCMV infection or reactivation in immunocompromised patients such as under transplantation, cancer patients undergo chemotherapy and steroid therapy, antiviral prophylaxis should be given (Cayatte et al., 2013; Britt & Prichard, 2018; Maertens et al., 2019). It was reported that reactivation could occur in 25-30% of the patients within three months and within six months with higher positivity if antiviral prophylaxis is not given after transplantation (Osawa & Singh, 2009; Ljungman et al., 2011; Watkins et al., 2012).

Chemotherapy had many side effects, one of them was markedly suppress cellular immunity and expose patients to a greater risk opportunistic infections such as viral infection including HCMV infection (Boeckh & Nichols, 2004; Kuo *et al.*, 2008; Schlick *et al.*, 2015; Demirel *et al.*, 2021). It

is possible that latent HCMV could subsequently be reactivated by the chemotherapy (Kuo et al., 2008). In these studies, we found 85.5% of cancer patients were undergoing chemotherapy and the prevalence of HCMV was 99.5%. These results affirmed by Hatayama and his group who found that HCMV was detected in 67.1% of cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy and steroid therapy in Japan (Hatayama et al., 2020). In addition, a recent study in Iraq revealed that there was a little high prevalence 28% of HCMV viremia in Iraqi patients with acute leukemia after chemotherapy (Al-Toban et al., 2018). A novel study by Phasuk and his group in 2019 disclosed that the prevalence of HCMV DNAemia was 52% after chemotherapy of pediatric ALL patients (Phasuk et al., 2019). Moreover, Bansal from India reported that HCMV infection was found in different regimens of chemotherapy and no special regimen had a particular tendency to HCMV infection. Thus, reactivation of HCMV as a result of immunosuppression by chemotherapy causes a severe clinical manifestation (Bansal & Ghafur, 2020). Further, HCMV reactivation can be life threatening in severity (Cannon et al., 2010; Kotton, 2013; Schlick et al., 2015; Papazian et al., 2016; Schildermans & De Vlieger, 2020). Therefore, reactivation of HCMV was detected because of immunosuppression that develops due to the lymphopenia and dysfunction of lymphocyte. However, this condition was noticed particularly chemotherapies used in patients with SC also have reported to associate HCMV reactivation (Osawa & Singh, 2009; Beam & Razonable, 2012). On the other hand, some research found a relation between HCMV reactivation and chemotherapy in SC (Kuo et al., 2008; Demirel et al., 2021).

The result of this study revealed that the seroprevalence of HCMV in cancer patients undergo radiotherapy was 100%. This result was supported by the result of Goerig in 2016 who found that the prevalence of HCMV was 48% in patients with brain cancer after radiotherapy in these patients and 87% required treatment for HCMV associated encephalopathy (Goerig *et al.*, 2016).

In this study, there was only 1% of cancer patients taking steroid therapy and the incidence of HCMV was 100%. This result was supported by the result of (Suárez *et al.*, 2018). They found that the prevalence of HCMV in patients treated with steroid was 70%, while it was 44% in patients without steroids therapy. Moreover, Marchesi (2018) demonstrated that patients with advanced disease, treated by High-dose steroids, increased the rate of HCMV

infection/ reactivation ranges between 2 and 67% (Marchesi *et al.*, 2018). Another study by Xue and his team in 2016 noted that higher corticosteroid doses were correlated with HCMV pneumonia (Xue *et al.*, 2016). Further, Tsai (2012) found that high doses of prednisolones increased risk of HCMV infections, (Tsai *et al.*, 2012).

This result showed that there was no significant difference in seroprevalence of HCMV reactivation in cancer patients regarding to antiviral prophylactics. Further, when HCMV infection or reactivation confirm in patients receiving chemotherapy, HCMV treatment should apply (Watkins *et al.*, 2012; Kotton, 2013). In addition, in HCMV infection the mortality was reduced by accurate diagnosis and rapid treatment (Osawa & Singh, 2009; Linares *et al.*, 2011; Watkins *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, the incidence of HCMV was lower in liver transplantation patient with preemptive antiviral therapy (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

#### **Conclusion:**

CMV seroprevalence is very important for national health care. CMV IgG Abs were detected in almost specimens of patients that received chemotherapy. CMV reactivation remains a significant clinical problem in these patients. Since CMV infection and its manifestation in cancer patients is probably underdiagnosed, given the high morbidity and mortality in these patients (with or without co-infections), it is very important to suspect and treat CMV reactivation. This study and other studies showed the of reactivation of CMV and chemotherapy in cancer patients, which makes CMV infection a serious threat for the patients receiving chemotherapy. Since CMV infection and its manifestation in patients with SC is probably underdiagnosed. Given the high morbidity and mortality in these patients (with or without co-infections), it is very important to suspect and treat CMV reactivation.

#### **Recommendations:**

This study recommends the following steps to minimize the CMV reactivation among cancer patients:

CMV test should be done as a routine test for all cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.

Antiviral against CMV must be given to cancer patients receiving chemotherapy if a patient has both clinical features and CMV positivity.

Further, blood bag given to cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy should be taken from seronegative CMV donors.

CMV routine screening test is very important for cancer patients with an observation for the development of any clinical manifestations of CMV infection.

If a patient has both clinical features and CMV positivity, then CMV antiviral prophylaxis may effectively prevent CMV reactivation, manage CMV infection complications and improve CMV infection outcomes.

#### **Ethics approval:**

The study was approved by the Faculty of Applied Sciences at Taiz University (No. 851). Permission was obtained from both Al- Amal Center For Treatment Of Oncology Patients. Taiz City and the participants in the study. No personal identifiers were used during samples or data collection; all samples were coded with a distinct research ID.

#### **References:**

- Agrawal, A. K., Rajendra, A., Noronha, V., Joshi, A., Patil, V. M., & et., al. (2020). Cytomegalovirus infection in solid malignancies. *Cancer Research, Statistics, and Treatment*, 3(1), 19.
- Alghalibi, S. M., Abdullah, Q. Y. M., Al-Arnoot, S., & Al-Thobhani, A. (2011). Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus among Pregnant Women in Hodeidah city, Yemen. *Journal of Human Virology & Retrovirology*, *3*(5), 00106.
- AlNuaimi, B. N., Al-Azzawi, R. H., & Naji, R. Z. (2018). Serodiagnosis of Human Cytomegalovirus in Iraqi Breast cancer and fibroadenoma patients. *Current Research in Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *6*(1), 1466-1469.
- Al-Sabri, A., Al-Arnoot, S., Al-Madhagi, A., & Al-Shamahy, H. (2017). Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus among Healthy Blood Donors in Sana'a City, Yemen. *Journal of Infectious and Non Infectious Diseases*, 3, 016.
- Al-Samawi, M. (2003). *Prevalence of human cytomegalovirus in Yemen*. (MSc thesis), Sana'a University, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Yeme.
- Al-Toban, H., Al-Marsomy, H., Al-Obaidi, A., Al Tameemi, W., Mohammed, M., & et., al. (2018). Molecular detection of cytomegalovirus in a sample of iraqi patients with acute leukemia and stem cell transplantation. *Iraqi Journal of Medical Sceince*, 16(3), 344-352.

- Anderson, B., & Larsson, S. (1963). Fever, splenomegaly, and atypical lymphocytes after open heart surgery. *The Lancet*, 282(7314), 947.
- Atkinson, C., & Emery, V. C. (2011). Cytomegalovirus quantification: where to next in optimising patient management. *Journal of clinical virology*, 51(4), 223-228.
- Bansal, N., & Ghafur, K. A. (2020). Cytomegalovirus reactivation in solid tumors: Are we missing the bus (bug). *Cancer Research, Statistics, and Treatment*, *3*(1), 76.
- Baryawno, N., Rahbar, A., & Wolmer-Solberg, N. (2011). Detection of human cytomegalovirus in medulloblastomas reveals a potential therapeutic target. Clinical Investigation, 121(10), 4043-4055.
- Beam, E., & Razonable, R. R. (2012) .Cytomegalovirus in solid organ transplantation: epidemiology, prevention, and treatment. *Current infectious disease reports*, 14(6), 633-641.
- Bosch, W., Heckman, M. G., Diehl, N. N., Shalev, J. A., & Hellinger, W. (2011). Association of cytomegalovirus infection and disease with death and graft loss after liver transplant in high-risk recipients. *American Journal of Transplantation*, 11(10), 2181-2189.
- Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, RL., & Torre, LA. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Cancer Journal for Clinicians.*, 0912(68), 394-424.
- Cannon, M. J., Schmid, D. S., & Hyde, T. B. (2010). Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and demographic characteristics associated with infection. *Reviews in medical virology*, 20(4), 202-213.
- Chamseddine, S., Chmaisse, A., Akel, I., Zeinab, El, Khalil, S., & al., e. (2020). Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of viral infections in hospitalized children and adolescents with cancer in Lebanon. *PLoS ONE*, *15*(9), e0239258.
- Chang, Y. F., Tang, T. C., Hung, Y. S., Lin, T. L., & Wang, P. N. (2011). Cytomegalovirus infection in non-transplant patients with hematologic neoplasms: a case series. *Chang Gung Medical Journal*. *34*, 65-74.
- Chen, C., Hsiao, L. T., Tang, J. L., Yen, C. C., Liu, J. H., & al., e. (2009). Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in Taiwan: past, present, and future. *Hong Kong Medical Journal*, *15*(3), 13-16.
- Chen, H., Lai, Y. L., Wu, C. L., Chang, Y. F., Chu, C. C., & al., e. (2010). Immune impairment in patients with terminal cancers: influence of cancer treatments and cytomegalovirus infection. *Cancer immunology, immunotherapy*, 59(2), 323-334.

- Cobbs, C. S., Harkins, L., Samanta, M., Gillespie, G. Y., & King, P. H. (2002). Human cytomegalovirus infection and expression in human malignant glioma. *Cancer Research, Statistics, and Treatment, 62*(12), 3347-3350.
- Cox, A., Richardson, A., Graham, P., Gislefoss, RE., & Jellum, E,. (2010). Breast cancer, cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus: a nested case-control study *British Journal Cancer*, *102*, 1665–1669.
- Das, B., Kaur, G., & Basu, S. (2014). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus antibodies among blood donors and Multitransfused recipients—A study from north India. *Transfusion and apheresis science*, 50(3), 438-442.
- de Melo Silva, J., Pinheiro-Silva, R., de Oliveira, R. C., de Castro Alves, C. E., & Pontes, G. S. (2021). Prevalence and Recurrence Rates of Cytomegalovirus Infection Among Patients With Hematological Diseases in the Western Brazilian Amazon: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9.
- Demirel, A., Pilanci, K. N., Neşe, İ. N., Baygül, A., Sönmez, E. (2021). Investigation of the effect of chemotherapy on cytomegalovirus reactivity in patients with solid organ tumors. *The European Research Journal*.
- Dupont, L., & Reeves, M.B. (2016). Cytomegalovirus latency and reactivation: recent insights into an age old problem. *Reviews in medical virology*, 26(2), 75-89.
- Eivazi, Z. J., Movassaghpour, A., Asgharzadeh, M., & Dastgiri, S. (2013). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus in blood donors in the northwest of Iran. *Journal of Research in Clinical Medicine*, 1(2), 96-100.
- El-Shinawi, M., Mohamed, H. T., Abdel-Fattah, H. H., Ibrahim, S. A., & Mohamed, M. M. (2016). Inflammatory and non-inflammatory breast cancer: a potential role for detection of multiple viral DNAs in disease progression. *Annals of surgical oncology*, 23(2), 494-502.
- Ferlay, J., Colombet, M., & Soerjomataram, I. (2019). Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *International Journal of Cancer 144*, 1941–1953.
- Forslund, O., Holmquist, S., Mengelbier, L., & Gisselsson, D. (2014). Regarding human cytomegalovirus in neuroblastoma. *Cancer medicine*, *3*(4), 1038-1040.
- Francis, S. S., Wallace, A. D., Wendt, G. A., Li, L., & Wiemels, J. L. (2017). In utero cytomegalovirus infection and development of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia Blood. *The Journal of the American Society of Hematology*, *129*(12), 1680-1684.

- Gallamini, A., Zaja, F., Caterina, B., Atto, S., Maria, R., & et., al. (2007). Alemtuzumab (Campath-1H) and CHOP chemotherapy as first-line treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma: results of a GITIL (Gruppo Italiano Terapie Innovative nei Linfomi) prospective multicenter trial. *Blood*, 110(7), 2316-2323.
- Gawad, A. A., Hashish, M., Abaza, A., & El-Kayal, A. (2016). Cytomegalovirus immunoglobulin G avidity index among blood donors in Alexandria, Egypt. *Central European journal of public health*, 24(4), 314-320.
- Geisler, J., Touma, J., Rahbar, A., Söderberg-Nauclér, C., & Vetvik, K. (2019). A review of the potential role of human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infections in breast cancer carcinogenesis and abnormal immunity. *Cancer*, 11(12), 1842.
- Ghosh, S., Shetty, R. S., Pattanshetty, S. M., Mallya, S. D., & et., al. (2019). Human papilloma and other DNA virus infections of the cervix: A population based comparative study among tribal and general population in India. *PLoS One*, 14(6), e0219173.
- Goerig, N. L., Frey, B., Korn, K., Fleckenstein, B., Überla, K., & et., al. (2016). Frequent occurrence of therapeutically reversible CMV-associated encephalopathy during radiotherapy of the brain. *Neuro Oncology*, 18(12), 1664-1672.
- Goodrum, F. (2016). Human cytomegalovirus latency: approaching the Gordian knot. *Annual review of virology*, *3*, 333-357.
- Green, M. L., Leisenring, W., Xie, H., Mast, T. C., & Boeckh, M. (2016). Cytomegalovirus viral load and mortality after haemopoietic stem cell transplantation in the era of pre-emptive therapy: a retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet Haematology*, *3*(3), 119-127.
- Griffiths, P., Baraniak, I., & Reeves, M. (2015). The pathogenesis of human cytomegalovirus. *The Journal of pathology*, 235(2), 288-297.
- Gudiol, C., Aguado, J. M., & Carratalà, J. (2016). Bloodstream infections in patients with solid tumors. *Virulence*, 7, 298-308.
- Han, X Y. (2007). Epidemiologic analysis of reactivated cytomegalovirus antigenemia in patients with cancer. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 45(4), 1126-1132.
- Harkins, L.E., Matlaf, L. A., Soroceanu, L., Klemm, K., & Cobbs, C. S. (2010). Detection of human cytomegalovirus in normal and neoplastic breast epithelium. *Herpesviridae*, *1*(1), 1-10.
- Henry, N., Baiju, N. M., Bhaskaran, R., Sudha, S. P., & Thomas, T. (2016). Cytomegalovirus seroprevalence among blood donors in Kerala. *International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research*, *3*, 3008-3010.

- Herbein, G. (2018). The human cytomegalovirus, from oncomodulation to oncogenesis. *Journa Viruses*, *10*(8), 408.
- Huang, L., Lee, J. J., & Cheng, S. P. (2014). No evidence of association between human cytomegalovirus infection and papillary thyroid cancer. *World journal of surgical oncology*, *12*(1), 1-6.
- Humar, A., & Snydman, D. (2009). Cytomegalovirus in solid organ transplant recipients. *American Journal of Transplantation*, *9*(4).
- Hyde, T. B., Schmid, D. S., & Cannon, M. (2010). Cytomegalovirus seroconversion rates and risk factors: implications for congenital CMV. *Reviews in medical virology*, 20(5), 311-326.
- Islam Shaheen, D. S. S., Hoque, D. M. A., & Ferdous, D. J. (2020). Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus among Blood Donor in Transfusion Medicine: Study from Bangladesh. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Medical Science*, 5(01), 01-04.
- Jones, C. (2013). Could cytomegalovirus be causing widespread outbreaks of chronic poor health. *Hypotheses in Clinical Medicine*, 73-79.
- karimi, M., Hosseini, S, S., & Nikkhoo, B. (2016). Relative Frequency of Cytomegalovirus (CMV) in Tissue Samples of Women with Breast Cancer in Sanandaj, Iran. *International Journal of Bioassays*, *5*(3), 4907-4911.
- Khudir, M. K., & Molan, A. L. (2014). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus among healthy students at Diyala University, Diyala, Iraq. *Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*, 9, 59-61.
- Klenerman, P., & Oxenius, A. (2016). T cell responses to cytomegalovirus. *Nature Reviews Immunology, 16*(6), 367-377.
- Kotton, C. N. (2013). CMV: prevention, diagnosis and therapy. *American Journal of Transplantation*, 13(3), 24-40.
- Kuo, C. P., Wu, C. L., Ho, H. T., Chen, C. G., & Liu, S. (2008). Detection of cytomegalovirus reactivation in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, *14*(3), 221-227.
- Lancini, D. V., Faddy, H. M., Ismay, S., Chesneau, S., Hogan, C., & et., al. (2016). Cytomegalovirus in A ustralian blood donors: seroepidemiology and seronegative red blood cell component inventories. *Transfusion*, 56(2), 1616-1621.
- Lee, Y., Rhee, C. K., Young, J., & Choi, J Y. (2017). Diagnosis of cytomegalovirus pneumonia by quantitative polymerase chain reaction using bronchial washing fluid from patients with hematologic malignancies. *Oncotarget*, 8(24), 39736-39745.

- Libard, S., Popova, S. N., Amini, R. M., Kärjä, V., & Pietiläinen, T. (2014). Human cytomegalovirus tegument protein pp65 is detected in all intraand extra-axial brain tumours independent of the tumour type or grade. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(9), 108861.
- Linares, L., Sanclemente, G., Cervera, C., Hoyo, I., & Cofán, F. (2011). Influence of cytomegalovirus disease in outcome of solid organ transplant patients. *International Transplantation proceedings*, 43(6), 2145-2148.
- Ljungman, P., Hakki, M., & Boeckh, M. (2011). Cytomegalovirus in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Hematology/Oncology Clinics*, 25(1), 151-169.
- Loutfy, S. A., Abo-Shadi, M. A., Fawzy, M., Mohamed, M., Shimaa, A. M., & et., al. (2017). Epstein-Barr virus and cytomegalovirus infections and their clinical relevance in Egyptian leukemic pediatric patients. *Virology journal*, 14(1), 1-11.
- Loutfy, S.A., El-Din, H. A., Ibrahim, M. F., & Hafez, M. M. (2006). Seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2, Epstein-Barr virus, and cytomegalovirus in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Egypt. *Saudi medical journal*, 27(8), 1139.
- Luo, X.H., Meng, Q., Rao, M., Liu, Z., Paraschoudi, G., & et., al. (2018). The impact of inflationary cytomegalovirusspecific memory T cells on antitumour immune responses in patients with cancer. *Immunology*, 155, 294-308.
- Mahmood, R., Malik, F., Hussain, S., Ashfaq, K. M., Akhtar, T., & et., al. (2014). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus among blood donors in local population. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemistry*, 4(4), 146-150.
- Marchesi, F., Pimpinelli, F., Ensoli, F., & Mengarelli, A. (2018). Cytomegalovirus infection in hematologic malignancy settings other than the allogeneic transplant. *Hematological oncology*, 36(3). 381-391.
- Margioula-Siarkou, C., Petousis, S., Milias, S., Ravanos, K., Kalogiannidis, I., & et., al. (2016). Endometrial expression of Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF), LIF-receptor and HOXA-11 but not HOXA-10 is significantly impaired in women with unexplained infertility during implantation window. European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 206, 165-166.
- Mattes, F.M, Hainsworth, E., Walker, A. H., Burroughs, A., Sweny, P., & et., al. (2005). Kinetics of cytomegalovirus load decrease in solid-organ transplant recipients after preemptive therapy with valganciclovir. *J The Journal of infectious diseases*, 191(1), 89-92.

مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية (61) المجلد(6)، العدد(4)، سبتمبر 2023م

- Mehrabani-Khasraghi, S., Ameli, M., & Khalily, F. (2016). Demonstration of herpes simplex virus, cytomegalovirus, and Epstein-Barr virus in colorectal Cancer. *Iranian biomedical journal*, 20(5), 302.
- Melendez, D., & Razonable, R. R. (2014). Immune-based monitoring for cytomegalovirus infection in solid organ transplantation: is it ready for clinical primetime? *Expert review of clinical immunology*, 10(9), 1213-1227.
- Meng, Q., Valentini, D., Rao, M., Dodoo, E., & Maeurer, M. (2018). CMV and EBV targets recognized by tumor-infiltrating B lymphocytes in pancreatic cancer and brain tumors. *Sience Reportes*, 8(1), 1-11.
- Mestas, E., & Forsythe, P. L. (2016). Congenital cytomegalovirus. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 16(1), 60-65.
- Mocarski, ES., Upton, M., Jason, W., & Kaiser, J. (2012). Viral infection and the evolution of caspase 8-regulated apoptotic and necrotic death pathways. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, *12*(2), 79-88.
- Muhsin, M. A. (2014). Molecular Detection of Human Cytomegalovirus genes in infertile and breast cancer women in Baghdad province. *International Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, *3*(4), 316-322.
- Muhsin, M. A., Jebur, M. S., & Hussan, B. M. (2014). Detection of Epstein Barr virus and Cytomegalovirus in Iraqi Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia patients. *AL-qadisiyah medical journal*, 10(18), 201-208.
- Murphy, E., & Shenk, T. (2008). Human cytomegalovirus genome. *Current Topic Microbiology Immunology*, 325, 1-19.
- Nauclér, C. S., Geisler, J., & Vetvik, K. (2019). The emerging role of human cytomegalovirus infection in human carcinogenesis: a review of current evidence and potential therapeutic implications. *Oncotarget*, 10(42), 4333.
- Nguyen, D. D., Cao, T. M., Dugan, K., Starcher, S. A., & Fechter, R. L. (2002). Cytomegalovirus viremia during Campath-1H therapy for relapsed and refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia and prolymphocytic leukemia. *Clinical lymphoma*, *3*(2), 105-110.
- Nguyen, Q., Estey, E., Raad, I., Rolston, K., & Kantarjian, H. (2001). Cytomegalovirus pneumonia in adults with leukemia: an emerging problem. *Clinical infectious diseases*, 32(4), 539-545.
- Nyholm, J. L., & Schleiss, M. R. (2010). Prevention of maternal cytomegalovirus infection: current status and future prospects. *J International journal of women's health*, 2, 23.
- Ojide, C., Ophori, E., Eghafona, N., & Omoti, C. (2012). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus (CMV) amongst voluntary blood donors in University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Edo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Advances in Medical Research*, 2(1), 15-20.

- Osawa, R., & Singh, N. (2009). Cytomegalovirus infection in critically ill patients: a systematic review. *Critical Care*, 13(3), 1-10.
- Papazian, L., Hraiech, S., Lehingue, S., Roch, A., & Chiche, L.,. (2016). Cytomegalovirus reactivation in ICU patients. *Intensive care medicine*, 42(1), 28-37.
- Paradowska, E., Jabłońska, A., Studzińska, M., Wilczyński, M., & Wilczyński, J. R. (2019). Detection and genotyping of CMV and HPV in tumors and fallopian tubes from epithelial ovarian cancer patients. *Scientific reports*, *9*(1), 1-10.
- Phasuk, N., Keatkla, J., Rattanasiri, S., Techasaensiri, C., & Anurathapan, U. (2019). Monitoring of cytomegalovirus infection in non-transplant pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients during chemotherapy. *Medicine*, 98(4).
- Piiparinen, H., Höckerstedt, K., Lappalainen, M., Suni, J., & Lautenschlager, I. (2002). Monitoring of viral load by quantitative plasma PCR during active cytomegalovirus infection of individual liver transplant patients. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 40(8), 2945-2952.
- Piukovics, K., Terhes, G., Gurbity-Pálfi, T., Bereczki, Á., & Rárosi, F. (2017). Cytomegalovirus infection in patients with haematological diseases and after autologous stem cell transplantation as consolidation: a single-centre study. *Annals of hematology*, *96*(1), 125-131.
- Rahbar, A., Touma, J., Costa, H., Davoudi, B., & Söderberg-Naucler, C. (2017). Low Expression of Estrogen Receptor-α and Progesterone Receptor in Human Breast Cancer Tissues Is Associated With High-Grade Human Cytomegalovirus Protein Expression. *Clinical breast cancer*, *17*(7), 526-535.
- Safabakhsh, H., Karimi, G., Tehranian, F., & Tehranian, B. (2014). Demography and seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in blood donors in Mashhad in 2009. *American Science*, 10(2), 139-142.
- Salman, O. H., & Al-Azzawi, R. H. (2020). Seroprevalence of human cytomegalovirus in iraqi breast cancer patients. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 729-731.
- Schildermans, J., & De Vlieger, G. (2020). Cytomegalovirus: A Troll in the ICU? Overview of the Literature and Perspectives for the Future. *Frontiers in medicine*, 7, 188.
- Schlichting, D. H., Kelsey, K. T., Demmer, R., Patel, M., Bueno, R., & et., al. (2021). Cytomegalovirus infection in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *PLoS One*, 16(8), 0254136.

- Schlick, K., Grundbichler, M., Auberger, J., Kern, M., & Hell, M. (2015). Cytomegalovirus reactivation and its clinical impact in patients with solid tumors. *Infectious agents and cancer*, 10(1), 1-4.
- Siegel, R. L., Miller, KD., & Jemal, A. (2018). Cancer statistics. *Cancer Journal Clinic*. (68), 7–30.
- Stewart, B., & Wild, P.,. (2014). World cancer report 2014. *International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)*.
- Suárez, L. M., Martínez, C. N., Gutiérrez, G. G., Dimova, S. V., Marcos, M. A., & et., al. (2018). Deleterious effect of steroids on cytomegalovirus infection rate after allogeneic stem cell transplantation depends on pretransplant cytomegalovirus serostatus of donors and recipients. Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, 24(10), 2088-2093.
- Swanson, E. C., & Schleiss, M. R. (2013). Congenital cytomegalovirus infection: new prospects for prevention and therapy. *Pediatric Clinics*, 60(2), 335-349.
- Taher, C., Frisk, G., Fuentes, S., Religa, P., Costa, H., & et., al. (2014). High prevalence of human cytomegalovirus in brain metastases of patients with primary breast and colorectal cancers. *Translational oncology*, 7(6), 732-740.
- Tay, M. R. J., Lim, S. T., Tao, M., Quek, R. H. H., & et., al. (2014). Cytomegalovirus infection and end-organ disease in Asian patients with lymphoma receiving chemotherapy. *Leukemia & lymphoma*, 55(1), 182-187.
- Tsai, W. P., Chen, M. H., Lee, M. H., Yu, K. H., Wu, M. W., & et., al. (2012). Cytomegalovirus infection causes morbidity and mortality in patients with autoimmune diseases, particularly systemic lupus: in a Chinese population in Taiwan. *Rheumatology international*, 32(9), 2901-2908.
- Vallejo, C., Ríos, E., De La Serna, J., Jarque, I., & García-Marco, J. A. (2011). Incidence of cytomegalovirus infection and disease in patients with lymphoproliferative disorders treated with alemtuzumab. *Expert review of hematology*, *4*(1), 9-16.
- Walton, A., Muenzer, J., Rasche, D., Boomer, J., Sato, B., & et., al. (2014). Reactivation of multiple viruses in patients with sepsis. *PLoS ONE*, 9(6), e98819.
- Wang, C., Zhang, X., Bialek, S., & Cannon, M. J. (2011). Attribution of congenital cytomegalovirus infection to primary versus non-primary maternal infection. *Clinical infectious diseases*, 52, 11-13.
- Watkins, R. R., Lemonovich, T. L., &, Razonable, R. R. (2012). Immune response to CMV in solid organ transplant recipients: current concepts and future directions. *Expert Review of Clinical Immunology*,, 8(4), 383-393.

- WHO. (2020). World Health Organization. WHO mortality database. WHO mortality database.
- Xue, Y., Jiang, L., Wan, W. G., Chen, Y. M., Zhang, J., & et., al. (2016). Cytomegalovirus pneumonia in patients with rheumatic diseases
- Yamashita, Y., Ito, Y., Isomura, H., Takemura, N., Motomura, K., & et., al. (2014). Lack of presence of the human cytomegalovirus in human glioblastoma. *Modern pathology*, 27(7), 922-929.
- Yin, M., Chen, A., Zhao, F., Ji, X., Li, C., & et., al. (2020). Detection of human cytomegalovirus in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer and its impacts on survival. *Journal of Infectious agents cancer Biomarkers*, 15, 1-8.
- Zaidi, A. R. Z., Al-Ammari, M. O., Al-Noamani, M., Altaf, S. Y., AlShehry, N., & et., al. (2019). Very High Seroprevalence of CMV and EBV Among a Large Series of Patients with Hematological Malignancies at a Tertiary Care Center in Saudi Arabia-a Case for Investigating Cooperativity of Viruses in Carcinogenesis. *Blood*, 134, 5818.
- Zhang, L., Li, L,. Wang, B., Qian, D.M., & Hu, M. J. (2014). HCMV induces dysregulation of glutamate uptake and transporter expression in human fetal astrocytes. *Neurochemical research*, *39*(12), 2407-2418.
- Zuhair, M., Smit, G., Suzanne, A., Wallis, G., Jabbar, F., & et., al. (2019). Estimation of the worldwide seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Reviews in medical virology, 29(3), e2034.